

MEMORANDUM FOR: Dave Ward  
FROM: Alan Ritchey  
DATE: 1-27-10

Please consider the following ODFW comments on the Farmers Screen Site Selection Process (version 11/16/09).

Pg 4 line 2 “The information will be confidential...” This information may not be confidential if provided to a state agency. ODFW will not broadcast the information but we are subject to public records act requests. If a citizen or media files a public records act request, ODFW would provide this information consistent with the public records act.

Pg 4, #1 Add “Is there more than one water user?”

Pg 4, #7 If diversion is currently screened, is the screen working and what problems have you encountered with the current screen. Have other types of screens been tried in the past.

Pg 5, #17 The questions related to stream flow and water use should be refined and confirmed. What is the minimum stream flow during the diversion time. Delete “typical” in regards to water use and confirm what is the max amount of water used.

Pg 5, #20 At any time during the year do you have difficulty getting your water right?

Pg 7 List all water users names.

Pg 8 Soil Type? Will the ditch seal around the new structure?

Pg 9, #1 & 2 When asking what the largest and smallest amount of water that is diverted during the year in cfs, confirm how these amounts are determined. Is there a gauge somewhere, is this an estimate, is this what the watermaster or someone else told them etc.

Pg 10, #3&4 These two questions should be clarified to reference water surface elevation. How were these differences in elevation determined and are they adequate?

Pg 10 Add statement confirming that there must be enough water to maintain a minimum of 12 inches over the entire screen surface and meet the water users demand at all times water is diverted. This water depth must be maintained by the designed hydraulics of the

screen and can not be artificially maintained by blocking the bypass. The 12 inch figure would have to be clarified if the criteria for this screen type changes as proposed by FCA.

Citing criteria may be developed and agreed to by all parties as a workable document that provides a high level of assurance that an FCA screen type will work. This should not imply that an FCA screen will be considered the preferred screen type at any site that meets all criteria in this document. Other factors will be considered when recommending and/or cost-sharing fish screens that may result in an agency recommending another screen type for any specific project.