

# Hydraulic and Biological Evaluations

# Hydraulic Evaluations

- Measuring flow distribution for fixed vertical or rotating drums (with adjustments available).
  - Ideally, the screen face should be divided into at least 20 uniform sections.
  - Realistically, divide the screen into sub-sections that each have independent flow adjustment available (baffle location, pump isolation).

# Hydraulic Evaluations

## ⦿ Calculating Average $V_a$

- Calculate average  $V_a$  by dividing the sum of flow through each sub-section by the total effective screen area.
- If average  $V_a$  exceeds 0.4 ft/s, either flow must be reduced or screen area increased.

# Hydraulic Evaluations

- ④ Flow distribution for fixed vertical or rotating drum screens (with adjustments available).
  - Measure velocity at several points depending on the size of sub-section.
  - For each sub-section calculate flow by multiplying  $V_a$  for a subsection times the area of the sub-section.

# Hydraulic Evaluations

- ⦿ Forcing  $V_a$  closer to the average  $V_a$ 
  - Once all  $V_a$  is compiled for each subsection, choose subsection(s) with highest  $V_a$  and close down the baffles by a percentage that represents the percentage  $V_a$  over design criteria.
  - Recheck velocities and repeat as necessary.
  - Usually, start an evaluation with baffles wide open. However, if some are not fully open, these can be opened to increase  $V_a$

# Tools available for fish passage effectiveness evaluation

- Adult PIT tag readers
- Radio Telemetry
- Acoustic Tags
- Hydroacoustics
- PIT tags
- Hydraulic evaluation to verify design
- Spawning surveys
- Survival studies

# Adult PIT tag readers

- These are installed at fish ladders at most mainstem dams, usually with great efficiency rates because of the ability to control the hydraulics.
- There are increasing numbers of PIT arrays installed in tributary rivers, some more efficient than others.
- These read a PIT tag either inserted into an out-migrating smolt, or possibly in other life stages as well.
- Provide great information on run timing, dam passage, dam survival, spawning survival etc.

# Radio Telemetry

- ⦿ A tag that creates a detectable radio signal.
- ⦿ The radio tag can be detected with a fixed array system, or mobile devices (harder to do).
- ⦿ Limited tag life, and often lack depth information.
- ⦿ Provides good 2d tracks of juvenile or adult salmon.



# Acoustic Tags

- ⦿ Surgically Implanted
- ⦿ Limited study duration
- ⦿ Battery development
- ⦿ Good 3-D information
- ⦿ An AT sends out an unique acoustic signal, detected by strategically placed array of receivers.

# Acoustic tags



# Combo tag – AT and PIT



# Rocky Reach sampling screen





# Acoustic Tag Surgery



# Hydroacoustic arrays

- “Fish Finders”
- Sends out a sonar signal that reflects off of submerged objects – swim bladders
- Can get multiple detections from same fish – hard to decipher data sometimes
- Non-invasive to fish

# PIT tags

- Passive Integrated Transponder (PIT) tags
- Small tag, no battery
- Tag is read when its passed through an energy field which energizes the tag circuit ry.
- Provides a spectrum of data, depending on how the tag is programmed.
- Used for many types of studies

# Survival studies

- Usually conducted on a per project basis.
- PIT, Acoustic and Radio tags all work well, if an appropriate study design is developed.
- Provides project survival information based on travel through the forebay, over/through the dam, and into the tailrace.
- Project juvenile survival standards for Upper Columbia River mainstem dams are 93%.
- Small fish – problems with tag studies.



# Survival study - some issues to consider

- ⦿ Multiple surgeons – study design must accommodate the varying level of skill.
- ⦿ Release locations – verify that the study design releases test and control fish in locations that truly reflect the route of passage.
- ⦿ Higher control mortality = higher test fish survival

# Survival study - some issues to consider

- Fish – do these reflect the run at large, or do they even need to?
- Passage timing is often affected by daylight, predator presence, project operations and route passage efficiency, to name a few.
- One year of data is rarely sufficient for any conclusion regarding passage. In the Upper C, we use a three year average.